PUBLIC PAGE

COMPREHENSIVE STUDY TO UNDERSTAND LONGITUDINAL ERW SEAM FAILURES

Submitted by Battelle in collaboration with Kiefner and Associates Inc (KAI), and Det Norske Veritas (U.S.A.), Inc. (DNV)

Contact: Battelle Memorial Institute 505 King Avenue, Columbus, OH 43201,

Battelle PM: Bruce Young (614) 424-3098; (614) 458-3098 (fax); youngba@battelle.org

Contract Number: DTPH56-11-T-000003 For quarterly period ending: August 31, 2016

The objective of the referenced project is to assist the PHMSA in favorably closing NTSB Recommendation P-09-1 arising from the Carmichael MS pipeline rupture involving an ERW seam, which directed that the PHMSA conduct a comprehensive study of ERW pipe properties and the means to assure that they do not fail in service. The work is anticipated to validate that periodic use of the current ERW seam integrity assessment methods (hydrostatic testing and in-line inspection using a crack-detection tool) are the best means to prevent ERW seam ruptures. The work will address the characteristics of ERW seams that make them susceptible to failure, and it will identify the factors the pipeline operators must consider in order to assure that their ERW pipelines are safe.

Phase I of this project has produced fifteen task reports shown in Table 1 which are available on the DOT website https://primis.phmsa.dot.gov/matrix/PrjHome.rdm?prj=390. Phase II of this project has been initiated, but has not produced any reportable milestones to date.

Task	Author	Title	Status
1.2	K.M. Kolovich &	Effectiveness of Hydrostatic Testing for	Posted
	J. F. Kiefner	Assessing the Integrity of ERW and Flash-weld	
1.2	T E IZ. C	Pipe Seams	D 4 1
1.3	J. F. Kiefner,	Track Record of In-Line Inspection as a Means	Posted
	K.M. Kolovich, et.al.	of ERW Seam Integrity Assessment	
1.4	J. F. Kiefner &	ERW and Flash Weld Seam Failures	Posted
1.4	K.M. Kolovich	ERW and Plash Weld Seam Pandles	rosteu
1.4	B. N. Leis &	Battelle's Experience with ERW and Flash	Posted
1.4	J. B. Nestleroth	Weld Seam Failures: Causes and Implications	Tosted
2.3	Greg T. Quickel,	Characterization of the Toughness of Pipe	Posted
2.3		Containing ERW Seam Defects	2 33.00
2.4	J. F. Kiefner &	Models for Predicting Failure Stress Levels	Posted
	K.M. Kolovich	for Defects Affecting ERW and Flash-	
		Welded Seams / Addendum on PAFFC	
	B. N. Leis		
2.5	J. F. Kiefner &	Predicting Times to Failure for ERW Seam	Posted
	K. M. Kolovich	Defects that Grow by Pressure-Cycle-Induced	
		Fatigue	
2.6	B. Leis	Characterizing Aspects of Failure in ERW Line	Posted
2.1	C Durania	Pipe	Danta 1
3.1	S. Brossia	Selective Seam Weld Corrosion	Posted
3.2	S. Brossia	Literature Review Selective Seam Weld Corrosion Test Method	Posted
3.3	S. Brossia	Selective Seam Weld Corrosion Cathodic	Posted
3.3	S. Diossia	Protection Effectiveness Evaluation	rosted
3.4	S. Brossia	Input to Report – Implications for	Posted
3.1	S. Brossia	Recommendation P-09-1	1 05104
4.1	B. Leis	Compare/Contrast Inspection vs Burst Outcomes	Posted
4.2	B. Leis	Time-Trending and Like-Similar Analysis for	Posted
		ERW-Seam Failures	
4.5	Multiple Authors	Final Summary Report	Posted
		and Recommendations for the	
		Comprehensive Study to Understand	
		Longitudinal ERW Seam Failures – Phase One	